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This study is a continuation of [1]. The latter examined a variant of the Signorini problem and boundaryvalue problems of the theory of elasticity for a cylinder with a corrugated lateral surface undergoing rapid oscillation. Here, we examine a variant of the Signorini problem in which a restriction is imposed on the lateral surface of a cylindrical region and the stresses are equal to zero on the planes $x_{3}=$ const. This variant is of interest due to its noncoercive nature. To a certain extent, the results presented here reinforce the findings in [2]. In the study of a body with a rapidly vibrating lateral surface, it is assumed that the boundary conditions are chosen so as to have the body be a cylinder compressed in a rigid ring. It is shown that rapid oscillation of the boundary leads to a situation whereby the limiting problem in the boundary conditions turns out to be the factor $\Gamma$ - the ratio of the length of the undisturbed part of the boundary to the length of the disturbed portion. The boundary conditions on the lateral surface should be fairly specific, unlike the case (for example) of zero displacement of this surface.

1. Let us recall the formulation of the problem from [1]. We will examine an elastic, transversely isotropic cylinder $\mathrm{Q}=\omega \times(-\mathrm{h} / 2, \mathrm{~h} / 2)$, where $\omega$ is a finite region on a plane with a fairly smooth boundary $\gamma$. Let E be the elastic modulus in the plane of isotropy of the material $x_{3} \equiv$ const, and let $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ be the elastic modulus in the orthogonal plane. We take the square root of the ratio $E / E^{\prime}$ as the small parameter. In a physically realistic situation, $\varepsilon$ would be small for an elastic cylinder reinforced in the direction of the vertical axis by a set of boron or carbon fibers having an elastic modulus significantly higher in the axial direction than in the circumferential direction.

We divide the stresses by the elastic modulus $E$, keeping the previous notation for the dimensionless stresses, and we use Hooke's law to express the stresses through the strains:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{11}=a_{11} e_{11}+a_{12} e_{22}+a_{13} e_{33}, \sigma_{12}=2(1+\nu)^{-1} e_{12} \\
\sigma_{22}=a_{12} e_{11}+a_{11} e_{22}+a_{13} e_{33}, \sigma_{13}=2 b \varepsilon^{-2} e_{13} \\
\sigma_{33}=a_{13}\left(e_{11}+e_{22}\right)+a_{33} \varepsilon^{-2} e_{33}, \sigma_{23}=2 b \varepsilon^{-2} e_{23}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{11}=\left(1-\mu^{2} \varepsilon^{2}\right)(1+v)^{-1} a_{0}^{-1} ; a_{12}=\left(v+\mu^{2} \varepsilon^{2}\right)(1+v)^{-1} a_{0}^{-1} ; \\
a_{13}=\mu a_{0}^{-1} ; a_{33}=(1-v) a_{0}^{-1} ; a_{0}=1-v-2 \mu^{2} \varepsilon^{2} ; \\
e_{i j}=2^{-1}\left(u_{i j}+u_{j, i}\right)\left(i, j=1,2,3, u_{i, j}=\partial u_{i} / \partial x_{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

(summation is performed from 1 to 3 over repeating indices); $b=\mathrm{E}^{\prime} / \mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ is the ratio of the elastic modulus $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$ to the shear modulus $\mathrm{G}^{\prime}$ in the direction orthogonal to the plane of isotropy; $\nu$ is the Poisson's ratio in the plane of isotropy; $\mu$ is the auxiliary Poisson's ratio; $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{k}}(\mathrm{k}=1,2,3)$ are the displacements. It follows from the positiveness of the potential strain energy that $0<\nu<1, a>0, b>0$.

We studied a mixed problem for the system of equations of the theory of elasticity in [1]
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$$
\begin{gathered}
-\sigma_{i j, j}+f_{i}=0, f_{i} \in L^{2}(Q), i=1,2,3 \\
\left.\sigma_{i 3}\right|_{x_{3}}= \pm h / 2=0,\left.u_{i}\right|_{y \times(-h / 2, h / 2)}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where it was shown that the solution converges slowly in $\mathrm{H}^{1}$ to the problem of the tension-compression and bending of an isotropic plate. In order to subsequently account for the dependence of the solution on the parameter $\varepsilon$, we will denote the stresses and displacements by the superscript $\varepsilon$. Here, $\sigma_{\mathrm{ij}}{ }^{0}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{0}$ will represent the stresses and displacements in the limiting problem. We put

$$
a^{*}\left(u^{2}, v\right)=2^{-1} \int_{Q} \sigma_{i j}\left(u^{2}\right) e_{i j}(v) d x
$$

2. We will examine a variant of the Signorini problem with a certain restriction on the lateral surface of the cylinder. Let a closed convex cone $K_{1}$ exist in $W=\left[H^{1}(Q)\right]^{3}$ :

$$
K_{1}=\left\{u \in W ; u_{n} \leqslant 0 \text { on } S=\gamma \times(-h / 2, h / 2)\right\}
$$

We will study the asymptotic behavior of the variational inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{c}\left(u^{t}, v-u^{*}\right) \geqslant\left(f, v-u^{z}\right) \forall v \in K_{1} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$. The quadratic form $a^{\varepsilon}\left(u^{\varepsilon}, u^{\varepsilon}\right)$ is noncoercive on $\mathrm{K}_{1}$. In order for the minimum of the functional

$$
J\left(u^{t}\right)=a^{2}\left(u^{t}, u^{e}\right)-F\left(u^{e}\right), F\left(u^{t}\right)=\int_{Q} f_{k} u_{k}^{\tau} d x
$$

to exist on $K_{1}$ in accordance with theorem 10.1 in [3], the following condition must be satisfied

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\rho) \leqslant 0 \forall \rho \in R^{\prime}, R^{\prime}=R \cap K_{1} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $R$ is the space of rigid-body displacements). If condition (2.2) is satisfied exactly, i.e. if the sign of the inequality remains unchanged when and only when $\rho \in R^{*}$ (where $R^{*}$ is a subset of $R^{\prime}$ formed by the bilateral displacements, i.e. displacements such that $\rho$ and $-\rho$ are compatible with the constraints on the body), then $J\left(u^{\varepsilon}\right)$ has an absolute minimum on $K_{1}$. We will henceforth require the use of theorem 1.4 from [4].

Theorem. Let $\|u\|$ ' be a half-norm on a Hilbert space H,

$$
K=\left\{u \in H ;\|u\|^{\prime}=0, \operatorname{dim} R<\infty\right\}
$$

We assume that

$$
C_{1}\|u\| \leqslant\|u\|^{\prime}+\left\|P_{R} u\right\| \leqslant C_{2}\|u\|
$$

( $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{R}}$ is an operator allowing orthogonal projection on R ). Let K be a closed convex subset and let $\mathscr{P}$ be a penalty operator. We assume that the differential of $\mathscr{P}$ is positively uniform, i.e. that $D \mathscr{P}(t u, h)=\mathrm{tD} \mathscr{P}(\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{h})$ for any $\mathrm{t}>0$. Let $\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{K}$ $\cap R \neq\{0\} u-(f, h)>0$ when $h \in K \cap R, h \neq 0$. Then the following inequality is valid

$$
\|u\|^{\prime 2}+\mathscr{P}(u)-(f, u) \geqslant C_{1}\|u\|-C_{2} .
$$

Let us consider passing to the limit at $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$ in inequality (2.1). As in Part 2 in [1], we relate (2.1) to a problem with a penalty:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{\varepsilon}\left(u^{e n}, v\right)+\eta^{-1} \int_{s}\left[u_{n}^{e n}\right]^{+} v_{n} d S=\int_{Q} f o d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its solution is determined to within the field of rigid-body displacements:

$$
\rho_{1}=a+\gamma x_{2}-\beta x_{3}, \rho_{2}=b-\gamma x_{1}+\alpha x_{3}, \rho_{3}=c+\beta x_{1}-\alpha x_{2} .
$$

We then have the following estimate for problem (2.3)

$$
a^{t}\left(u^{t \eta}, u^{t \eta}\right) \geqslant C\left\|u^{t \eta}\right\|_{w}^{2}-C_{2} .
$$

In fact, if we put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathscr{P}(u)=2^{-1} \int_{S}\left(\left[u^{x, \eta}\right\rangle^{+}\right)^{2} d S,(f, u)=\int_{Q} f_{k} u_{k} d x, \\
\|u\|^{\prime}=\left(\int_{Q} e_{i j}(u) e_{i j}(u) d x\right)^{1 / 2},
\end{gathered}
$$

then the above theorem makes it possible to obtain the required estimate, since estimates (1.6) from [1] remain valid in this case. Thus, we can isolate from the sequence $u^{\varepsilon, \eta}$ another sequence that converges slowly in $W$ to the element $u^{0, \eta}$ (the same notation as was used previously is used here for the new sequence). Due to the compactness of the traces in $L^{2}(\mathrm{~S})$, the term with the penalty converges to the expression

$$
h \eta^{-1} \int_{r}\left[g_{1}^{\eta} n_{1}+g_{2}^{\eta} n_{2}\right]^{+}\left(\psi_{1} n_{1}+\psi_{2} n_{2}\right) d s+\frac{h^{3}}{12} \frac{1}{\eta} \int_{\gamma}\left(\frac{\partial u_{3}^{0, \eta}}{\partial n}\right)^{+} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial n} d s
$$

Here, ds is an element of arc length. The conditions of solvability of the initial problem (2.1) are transformed in this case: on $S$ we have the inequality

$$
\left.\rho_{k} n_{k}\right|_{s} \leqslant 0,
$$

it following from this that $\alpha=\beta=0$ in the formulas for the rigid-body displacements (due to the arbitrariness with respect to $x_{3}$ ). Then

$$
\rho_{1}=a+\gamma x_{2}, \rho_{2}=b-\gamma x_{1}, \rho_{3}=c .
$$

Having integrated over $x_{3}$ in the solvability condition

$$
\int_{Q}\left(f_{1} \rho_{1}+f_{2} \rho_{2}+f_{3} \rho_{3}\right) d x \leqslant 0
$$

we find that the following is necessary for the limiting problem to be solvable

$$
\int_{a}\left[\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle\left(a+\gamma x_{2}\right)+\left\langle f_{2}\right\rangle\left(b-\gamma x_{1}\right)+c\left(f_{3}\right\rangle\right] d x \leqslant 0
$$

so that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{\omega}\left[\left\langle f_{1}\right\rangle\left(a+\gamma x_{2}\right)+\left\langle f_{2}\right\rangle\left(b-\gamma x_{1}\right)\right] d x^{\prime} \leqslant 0, \\
\int_{\omega}\left\langle f_{3}\right\rangle d x^{\prime}=0, d x^{\prime}=d x_{1} d x_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The passage to the limit at $\eta \rightarrow+0$ is based on well-established methods [5]. Here, the initial variational inequality is split in two: the first expression has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(g, g-\psi) \geqslant(\langle \rangle, g-\psi) \forall \psi \in K_{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ is a closed convex cone in $\left[\mathrm{H}^{1}(\omega)\right]^{2}$ generated by the conditiongn $\mid \gamma \leq 0$, corresponding to a two-dimensional Signorini problem (the condition necessary for its solution is satisfied). The second inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
b\left(u_{3}^{0,0}, v_{3}-u_{3}^{0.0}\right) \geqslant\left(\left\langle f_{3}\right\rangle, v_{3}-u_{3}^{0,0}\right) \forall v_{3} \in K_{3} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $K_{3}$ is a closed convex cone in $H^{2}(\omega)$ generated by the condition $\partial v_{3} / \partial n \mid \gamma \leq 0 ; b(u, v), d(u, v)$ are bilinear symmetric forms consisting of the factors with $h$ and $h^{3}$ in Eq. (1.9) from [1]. In (2.4)-(2.5), $\langle f\rangle$ is the mean of $f$ over the thickness of the cylinder.

Let us now formulate the final result.
Theorem 2.1. At $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$, the functions $\mathrm{u}_{1}{ }^{\varepsilon}, \mathrm{u}_{2}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ are the solution of variational equation (2.1), converging slowly to the solution of variational inequality (2.4), while $u_{3}^{\varepsilon}$ converges slowly to the solution of inequality (2.5).

The problem of solving inequality (2.5) was examined in [2], where it was proven to be solvable with the stronger assumptions

$$
\int_{\omega}\left\langle r_{3}\right\rangle x_{k} d x^{\prime}=0, k=1,2, \int_{\omega}\left\langle f_{3}\right\rangle d x^{\prime}=0
$$

( $\omega$ is a bounded convex set with a regular boundary). The decrease in the number of solvability conditions is connected with the fact that the functions $u_{3}{ }^{0}$ are determined to within a constant. It follows from theorem 2.1 that the convexity of the region is also not a necessary condition.
3. Let us examine one more variant of boundary conditions on the lateral surface of the cylinder. Let on $S$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\tau}=0, \sigma_{n}+k u_{n}=0, k>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mechanical interpretation of boundary conditions (3.1) [5] is as follows: the shear stress vanishes, while the normal forces are forces of elastic inertia and are proportional to the absolute value of the normal displacement. Let $V_{1}$ be a Hilbert space:

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{1}=\left\{v \in W ;\left.v_{3}\right|_{s}=0,\left.v_{\mathrm{z}}\right|_{s}=0\right\} \\
v_{n}=v_{1} n_{1}+v_{2} n_{2}, v_{z}=-v_{1} n_{1}+v_{2} n_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We put

$$
a_{2}^{z}(u, v)=a^{e}\left(u^{t}, v\right)+k \int_{s} u_{n}^{\varepsilon} v_{n} d S .
$$

The variational problem consists of determining the function $u^{\varepsilon} \in W$, which satisfies the integral identity

$$
a_{2}^{e}\left(u^{*}, v\right)=(f, v) \forall v \in V_{1} .
$$

Analogously to theorem (4.2), we can prove from [2] that there exists a constant $\alpha>0$ such that

$$
a_{2}^{*}\left(u^{*}, u^{*}\right) \geqslant \alpha\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{w}^{2}
$$

Thus, the given problem has a uniqe solution, and estimates (1.6) from [1] are valid for it. Passing to the limit at $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in the term

$$
k \int_{s} u_{n}^{\varepsilon} u_{n} d S
$$

after we integrate over $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ we obtain the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
k h \int_{y} g_{n} \psi_{n} d s+\frac{k h^{3}}{12} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial u_{3}^{0}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial n} d s \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put

$$
\begin{gathered}
n_{11}=\varepsilon_{11}(g)+\nu \varepsilon_{22}(g), n_{22}=v \varepsilon_{11}(g)+\varepsilon_{22}(g), n_{12}=2(1-v) \varepsilon_{12}(g), \\
M_{1}=(1-v) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{0}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} n_{1}+\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{0}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+v \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right) n_{2}, \\
M_{2}=-(1-v) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{0}}{\partial x_{1} \partial x_{2}} n_{2}-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{0}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}}+v \frac{\partial^{2} u_{3}^{0}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}\right) n_{1}, \\
M_{\mathrm{r}}=-M_{1} n_{2}+M_{2} n_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $u_{3}{ }^{0} \in H_{0}{ }^{1}(\omega)$, we can write the Green formula for $u_{3}{ }^{0}$ in the form

$$
b\left(u_{3}^{0}, v\right)=\left(\left\langle f_{3}\right\rangle, v\right)-\left(M_{r}, \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial n}\right) \gamma .
$$

It follows from (3.2) that $g_{1}, g_{2}$ solve the following problem: determine $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H^{1}(\omega)$ from the integral identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& d(u, \psi)+k h \int_{\gamma} g_{n} \psi_{n} d \gamma=\left(\left\langle f_{i}\right\rangle, \psi_{i}\right) \forall \psi \in V_{1}^{0},  \tag{3.3}\\
& V_{1}^{0}=\left\{v \in\left[\left.H^{1}(\omega)\right|^{2}, v_{\tau}=-v_{1} n_{2}+\left.v_{2} n_{2}\right|_{\nu}=0\right\} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $u_{3}{ }^{0}$ is the solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
b\left(u_{3}^{0}, v_{3}\right)+k \frac{h^{3}}{12} \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial u_{3}^{0}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial n} d \gamma=\left(\left\langle f_{3}>, v_{3}\right)\right.  \tag{3.4}\\
\forall v_{3} \in H_{0}^{1}(\omega) \cap H^{2}(\omega), M_{z}-k \partial u_{3}^{0} /\left.\partial n\right|_{y}=0 .
\end{gather*}
$$

The solutions of problems (3.2) and (3.3) are unique.
Theorem 3.1. At $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0, \mathrm{u}_{1}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ and $\mathrm{u}_{2}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ converge slowly in W to the solution of problem (3.3), while $\mathrm{u}_{3}{ }^{\varepsilon}$ converges slowly to the solution of problem (3.4).
4. Let us examine a problem similar to that studied in Part 3, assuming that the lateral surface of the region is corrugated. A similar problem with a rapidly oscillating boundary was examined in [2] for the Laplace equation. It should be noted that boundary-value problems of the theory of elasticity for a transversely isotropic body with a corrugated lateral surface were studied in [6] by the method of regular boundary perturbation - in contrast to the method used in the present investigation.

Let $\mathrm{Q}_{\varepsilon}=\omega_{\varepsilon} \times(-\mathrm{h} / 2, \mathrm{~h} / 2)$, with $\omega_{0}$ being a bounded region on a plane having a smooth boundary $\partial \omega_{0}$ and an outer unit normal N . We use s to designate the curvilinear abscissa with the curve $\partial \omega_{0}$. In the neighborhood of $\partial \omega_{0}$, s and N are curvilinear coordinates on a plane. We will examine the smooth periodic function $y_{2}=F\left(y_{1}\right)$ with the period 1 in the rectangular coordinates $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}$. We define the boundary $\partial \omega_{\varepsilon}$ of region $\omega_{\varepsilon}$ by the equation $\mathrm{N}=\varepsilon \times \mathrm{F}(\mathrm{x} / \varepsilon)$ (where $\varepsilon$ is a small positive parameter). We assume that the small parameter, characterizing the oscillation of the boundary, coincides with the small parameter that characterizes the anisotropy of the body. This assumption is not essential and is made only to simplify the notation.

We will consider the situation in which there are no singular perturbations in the system of equations of the theory of elasticity ( $\varepsilon=1$ in the generalized Hooke's law). As in Part 3, we put

$$
V_{1}=\left\{v \in W ;\left.v_{3}\right|_{s_{t}}=0,\left.v_{t}\right|_{s_{z}}=0, S_{z}=\partial \omega_{e} \times(-h / 2, h / 2)\right\rangle .
$$

The vector-function $u^{\varepsilon}$ is determined from the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{d}\left(u^{*}, v\right)+k \int_{s_{t}} u_{n}^{v} v_{n} d S_{s}=(f, v) \forall v \in V_{1} . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in [2], we define the "waviness factor" $\Gamma$ as the ratio of the length $\partial \omega_{\varepsilon}$ to the length $\partial \omega_{0}$.

Theorem 4.1. The solution of problem (4.1) converges slowly in $\left[\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathrm{Q}_{0}\right)\right]^{3}$ to the solution of the problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
a\left(u^{0}, v\right)+k \Gamma \int_{S_{0}} u_{n}^{0} v_{n} d S_{0}=(f, v) \forall v \in V_{1} \\
S_{0}=\partial \omega_{0} \times(-h / 2, h / 2)
\end{gathered}
$$

The proof of this theorem is analogous to the proof of theorem 8.1 in [2] and is omitted here.
Now let us examine the situation in which a singular perturbation exists in the system of equations. In this case, the term

$$
k \int_{S_{t}} u_{n}^{z} v_{n} d S_{s}
$$

in Eq. (4.1) reduces to the following in accordance with lemma 8.1 from [2]

$$
k h \Gamma \int_{y} g_{n} \psi_{n} d \gamma_{0}+k \Gamma \frac{h^{3}}{12} \int_{\gamma}^{\partial n} \frac{\partial u_{3}^{0}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial n} d \gamma_{0}
$$

and the limiting problems for determining $u_{3}{ }^{0}, g_{1}$, and $g_{2}$ have the form (3.2)-(3.3), where the multiplier $\Gamma$ should be placed in front of the integral of $\gamma$.

Theorem 4.2. When the system of equations contains a singular perturbation in the limit at $\varepsilon \rightarrow+0$, the solution of problem (4.1) splits into the solutions of the problems:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d(g, \psi)+k h \Gamma \int_{y} g_{n} \psi_{n} d \gamma=\left(\left\langle\zeta_{l}\right\rangle, \psi_{1}\right) \forall \psi \in V_{1}^{0},  \tag{4.2}\\
V_{1}^{0}=\left\{0 \in\left[H^{1}(\omega) \Gamma^{2}, v_{r}=-v_{1} n_{2}+\left.v_{2} n_{1}\right|_{\gamma}=0\right\} ;\right. \\
b\left(u_{3}^{0}, v_{3}\right)+k \frac{h^{3}}{12} \Gamma \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial u_{3}^{0}}{\partial n} \frac{\partial v_{3}}{\partial n} d \gamma=\left(\left\langle\zeta_{3}\right\rangle, v_{3}\right)  \tag{4.3}\\
\forall v_{3} \in H_{0}^{1}(\omega) \cap H^{2}(\omega), M_{t}-k \Gamma \partial u_{3}^{0} /\left.\partial n\right|_{Y}=0
\end{gather*}
$$

We should make several comments here regarding the behavior of the results obtained above. It follows from Eqs. (4.2) and (4.3) that the boundary conditions of the initial problem are transformed in the passage to the limit. The boundary conditions of the limiting problem contain the multiplier $\Gamma$, which is connected with the fact that the boundary conditions of the initial problem correspond to a cylinder compressed in a rigid ring. The boundary is straightened during deformation, which in turn leads to a change in the boundary conditions. Such a change in boundary conditions would not be possible if, for example, we assigned the displacements on the lateral surface.
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